381 Cherry Hill Road Spartanburg, SC 29307

Grades 9-12 High School

Enrollment 882 Students

Principal Harvey A. Dailey 864–579–9040

Superintendent Dr. Jim Ray 864–579–8000

Board Chair Mr. Eddie Dearybury 864–579–8000

The State of South Carolina

Annual School Report Card 2005

ABSOLUTE RATING

EXCELLENT

Absolute Ratings of High Schools with Students like Ours

Excellent Good Average Below Average Unsatisfar

Average Below Average Unsatisfactory
12 19 8 1 0

IMPROVEMENT RATING

GOOD

ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

YES

This school met 17 out of 17 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups.

Definition: As required by the United States Department of Education, Adequate Yearly Progress specifies that the statewide target is met for All Students and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency.

SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL

By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country.

> www.myscschools.com www.sceoc.org

PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2002	Good	Excellent	N/A
2003	Excellent	Unsatisfactory	No
2004	Excellent	Excellent	Yes
2005	Excellent	Good	Yes

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

HIGH SCHOOL ASSESSMENT PROGRAM (HSAP) EXAM PASSAGE RATE: SECOND YEAR STUDENTS									
	Our School				jh Schools v dents Like (
Percent	2004	2005	2006	2004	2005	2006			
Passed 2 subtests	81.6	79.9	N/A	77.4	73.0	N/A			
Passed 1 subtest	12.8	8.3	N/A	12.1	14.0	N/A			
Passed no subtests	5.6	11.8	N/A	10.5	13.0	N/A			

EXIT EXAM PASSAGE RATE BY SPRING 200	5	
	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Percent	98.1%	95.1%

ELIGIBILITY FOR LIFE SCHOLARSHIP							
Percent of	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours					
Seniors eligible for LIFE Scholarships at four-year institutions*	13.9	14.0					
Seniors who met the SAT/ACT requirement	13.9	14.2					
Seniors who met the grade point average	58.4	51.4					

^{*}Using only the SAT/ACT and grade point average requirements

GRADUATION RATE		
	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Number of Students	171	152
Number of Diplomas	150	213
Rate	87.7%	70.4%

PERFORMANCE BY STUDENT GROUPS

	Exit Exam Passage Rate by Spring 2005		Eligibility for LIFE Scholarship		Graduation Rate			
	n	%	n	%	n	%	Met State Objective	
All Students	154	98.1	166	13.9	171	87.7	YES	
Gender								
Male	83	100.0	85	18.8	87	88.5	N/A	
Female	71	95.8	81	8.6	84	86.9	N/A	
Racial/Ethnic Group								
White	128	98.4	123	18.7	129	90.7	N/A	
African American	23	95.7	38	0.0	38	76.3	N/A	
Asian/Pacific Islander	2	I/S	3	I/S	3	I/S	N/A	
Hispanic	1	I/S	2	I/S	1	I/S	N/A	
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	
Racial/Ethnic Group								
Non disabled	142	97.9	N/A	N/A	152	92.8	N/A	
Disabilities other than speech	12	100.0	19	0.0	19	47.4	N/A	
Migrant Status								
Migrant	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	
Non-migrant	154	98.1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
English Proficiency								
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	5	I/S	1	I/S	N/A	
Non-Limited English Proficient	154	98.1	N/A	N/A	170	88.2	N/A	
Socio-Economic Status								
Subsidized meals	41	97.6	63	4.8	67	77.6	N/A	
Full-pay meals	113	98.2	N/A	N/A	104	94.2	N/A	

n = number of students on which percentage is calculated

LICAR REPERBUANCE BY CO.	OUD.								
HSAP PERFORMANCE BY GR	OUP /	-,-				-,-	-/-		-
	Enrollment 1st	ر (چ	% Below Basis	કુ /	% Proficient	% Advanced	% Proficient and	Performance Objective	Participation Objection
	is	% Tested	, \ 8	% Basic	ˈ / ˈj͡g		[j.j. j	e E	patic
	1 6 6	1 1	%	%	1 %	1 \$	ji	3 / £ £	
	्रे हैं।	·/ ~~	/ %	/	/ %	/ %	18/2	\ & &	·/~~~~
					/	/	31.4		
	nglish/Lano 228	guage An		Performa				VEC	VEC
All Students Gender	228	98.7	11.0	27.1	33.9	28.0	71.6	YES	YES
Male	105	99.0	11.7	25.2	31.1	32.0	73.8	N/A	N/A
Female	123	98.4	10.4	28.7	36.5	24.3	69.6	N/A N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group	123	30.4	10.4	20.7	30.3	24.3	09.0	IN/A	IN/A
White	170	99.4	7.9	22.0	39.6	30.5	78.0	YES	YES
African American	51	96.1	17.0	44.7	17.0	21.3	53.2	YES	YES
Asian/Pacific Islander	2	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	4	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Disability Status									
Not Disabled	193	99.0	4.8	24.7	38.2	32.3	79.6	N/A	N/A
Disabled	35	97.1	46.9	40.6	9.4	3.1	25.0	I/S	I/S
Migrant Status									
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Non-Migrant	228	98.7	11.0	27.1	33.9	28.0	71.6	N/A	N/A
English Proficiency									
Limited English Proficient	4	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Non-Limited English Proficient	224	98.7	9.3	27.6	34.6	28.5	72.9	N/A	N/A
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	100	98.0	16.1	34.4	31.2	18.3	63.4	YES	YES
Full-pay meals	128	99.2	7.2	21.6	36.0	35.2	77.6	N/A	N/A
	Mathematic	cs - State	Performa	ance Obje	ective = 30	0.0%			
All Students	228	98.7	16.1	24.3	34.4	25.2	69.3	YES	YES
Gender									
Male	105	99.0	13.6	20.4	32.0	34.0	74.8	N/A	N/A
Female	123	98.4	18.3	27.8	36.5	17.4	64.3	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	170	99.4	12.2	23.8	34.8	29.3	73.8	YES	YES
African American	51	96.1	25.5	29.8	29.8	14.9	55.3	YES	YES
Asian/Pacific Islander	2	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	4	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Disability Status	100								
Not Disabled	193	99.0	8.1	23.7	39.8	28.5	78.5	N/A	N/A
Disabled	35	97.1	62.5	28.1	3.1	6.3	15.6	I/S	I/S
Migrant Status		NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	P1/A
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Non-Migrant	228	98.7	16.1	24.3	34.4	25.2	69.3	N/A	N/A
English Proficiency	4	1/0	1/0	1/0	1/0	1/0	1/0	1/0	1/0
Limited English Proficient Non-Limited English Proficient	224	I/S 98.7	I/S 14.5	I/S 24.8	1/S 35.0	I/S 25.7	1/S 70.6	I/S N/A	I/S N/A
Socio-Economic Status	224	30.1	14.5	24.0	J JJ.U	25.1	10.0	IN/A	IN/A
Subsidized meals	100	98.0	23.7	29.0	28.0	19.4	58.1	YES	YES
Full-pay meals	128	99.2	10.4	29.0	39.2	29.6	77.6	N/A	N/A
i uii pay ilicais	1 120	1 33.2	1 10.4	20.0	J J3.Z	1 25.0	1 11.0	IN/ <i>I</i> -1	I IN/A

SCHOOL PROFILE				
St., do mto (m= 992)	Our School	Change from Last Year	High Schools with Students Like Ours	Median High School
Students (n= 882)	7.00/	D (0.40/	7.00/	0.40/
Retention rate Attendance rate	7.8% 95.6%	Down from 9.4% Down from 97.5%	7.8% 95.5%	8.1% 95.6%
Eligible for gifted and talented	13.9%	Up from 12.0%	9.7%	5.9%
With disabilities other than speech	13.5%	Up from 12.1%	12.6%	13.3%
Older than usual for grade	5.2%	Up from 4.9%	8.8%	10.1%
Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent &/or criminal offenses		Down from 0.6%	2.9%	2.0%
Enrolled in AP/IB programs	11.0%	Down from 18.6%	10.0%	9.7%
Successful on AP/IB exams	66.7%	Up from 57.8%	58.6%	53.7%
Annual dropout rate	0.8%	Down from 1.1%	3.7%	3.0%
Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations	0.0%	No change	4.3%	3.1%
Enrollment in career/technology center courses	24	Down from 65	410	431
Students participating in worked-based experiences	21.3%	Down from 23.8%	25.5%	23.4%
Career/technology students mastering core competencies	93.3%	Up from 75.5%	79.8%	78.6%
Career/technology completers placed	N/A	N/A	98.4%	99.4%
Teachers (n= 54)				
Teachers with advanced degrees	68.5%	No change	54.6%	54.5%
Continuing contract teachers	74.1%	Down from 83.3%	80.9%	78.6%
Highly qualified teachers	89.1%	Down from 92.3%	90.7%	89.1%
Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates	2.1%	Up from 0.0%	6.0%	9.1%
Teachers returning from previous year Teacher attendance rate	81.7% 96.7%	Down from 82.8%	87.6% 95.4%	86.9% 95.4%
		Up from 93.9%		, .
Average teacher salary Prof. development days/teacher	\$44,994 13.6 days	Up 0.9% Down from 14.0 days	\$43,337 10.2 days	\$42,426 10.9 days
School	10.0 days	Down nom 14.0 days	10.2 days	10.5 days
Principal's years at school	9.0	No change	4.0	3.0
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	24.4 to 1	No change	26.5 to 1	25.8 to 1
Prime instructional time	91.0%	Up from 90.2%	89.5%	89.3%
Dollars spent per pupil*	\$8,624	Up 14.4%	\$6,139	\$6,422
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries*	49.0%	No change	57.0%	57.7%
Opportunities in the arts	Excellent	No change	Excellent	Excellent
Parents attending conferences	99.0%	No change	92.6%	91.1%
SACS accreditation	Yes	No change	Yes	Yes
Character development program * Prior year audited financial data are reported.	Good	Up from Average	Good	Good
		Our District		ate
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty school		N/A		.4%
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty scho	ols	N/A		.1%
		State Objective		Objective
Highly qualified teachers in this school		65.0%	•	es
Student attendance in this school		95.3%	Y	es

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

There were many "firsts" this past year. Our seniors presented us with the "highest" SAT scores in the school's history. Our composite score was above the State Average, and the National SAT average for the first time in school history. Our football team won its first ever State Championship. Our boy's basketball team won its first ever State Championship. Our boy's and girl's tennis teams won their first ever Region Championships. Our wrestling team won its first ever Upper State Championship. Our Royal Regiment Band had their best year, as they competed at the State level for the first time. Our students earned \$2,648,460 in scholarship monies. This figure was one of the largest totals ever earned.

The track team won their third state track title. One of our students won the high jump state title and another one of our students won the long jump state title. Our girls' track team placed 7th in the state, with one of our students winning the state discus title. Our girls' basketball team won the upper state title. Our boys' and girls' cross country teams were upper state champions. Our baseball team won the region championship.

Based on outstanding student test scores, the State Department of Education recognized Broome High School as a Palmetto Gold Award winner. Broome High School under went a Southern Association of Colleges and Schools Quality Assurance Team visit. The team left us with commendations such as: "There is obvious mutual respect and positive rapport between the students, faculty, administration and parents." - "The dedicated and competent faculty, coupled with a supportive administration makes for a superior learning environment." - "The school environment is safe, and the atmosphere is positive, caring and nurturing."

We wish to applaud the businesses that support our programs. A special thank you goes out to Jack and Carolyn Linder for the number of scholarships they provide each year. Coca Cola and Jostens's are to be commended for their yearly support of our Character Education Program, SAT Scholarships and Athletic teams, plus other incentives they provide.

We will continue to focus on the academic areas. With the cooperation and support of our students, parents, community and staff, along with the resources provided by our district, we know the 2005-2006 school year will be a positive experience for our students.

Harvey Dailey, Principal

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS						
	Teachers	Students*	Parents*			
Number of surveys returned	58	171	113			
Percent satisfied with learning environment	92.7%	90.1%	94.6%			
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	100.0%	88.9%	91.2%			
Percent satisfied with school-home relations	79.6%	88.9%	74.8%			
*Only eleventh grade students and their parents were included. For schools without grade 11, only the highest grade was included.						